

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/863,709 05/23/2001 Pierre Dobrovolny 7096 CIP 1492 EXAMINER 07/15/2005 Zenith Electronics Corporation NGUYEN, DUC M 2000 Millbrook Drive ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Lincolnshire, IL 60069 2685

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/863,709	DOBROVOLNY, PIERRE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Duc M. Nguyen	2685
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 13,16 and 17 is/are objected to. 		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/863,709

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to 5/9/05. Claims 11-18 are now pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friesen et al (US 5,428,839).

Regarding claim **12**, Friesen discloses a broad band RF double balanced mixer circuit comprising :

- a source of symmetrical LO signal (see Fig. 5 and col. 5, lines 35-40);
- a switching circuit controlled by said LO (see Fig. 5, ref. 45, wherein it would be obvious that the diodes 46-49 provide switching functions that are controlled by the LO signal);
- a source of RF signal (see Fig. 5, ref. 51);
- a printed circuit board with wideband RF response and narrow IF signal (see col. 7, lines 55-60, wherein it is clear that MMIC fabrication is the printed circuit board). Here, since Friesen discloses the RF and LO frequency range is about 20 Ghz (between 20 and 40 Ghz) and the IF frequency range is

Application/Control Number: 09/863,709

Art Unit: 2685

about 10 Ghz (between 2 and 12 Ghz), it would have been obvious that the above mixer provides a wideband RF response and narrow IF signal as claimed. Therefore, the claimed limitation is made obvious by Andrys, for providing a printed circuit board having a narrow band IF signal.

2. Claims **12, 14-15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Andrys et al** (US 6,057,714).

Regarding claim **12**, Andrys discloses a wideband RF double balanced mixer circuit comprising :

- a source of symmetrical LO signal (see Fig. 1, and col. 2, lines 1-2, wherein the differential LO is the symmetrical LO signal);
- a switching circuit controlled by said LO (see Fig. 1, refs. 34, 36, wherein it
 would be obvious that the FETs 30-32, 34-36 provide switching functions that
 are controlled by the LO signal);
- a source of RF signal (see Fig. 1, ref. 14);
- a printed circuit board with wideband RF response (see col. 2, lines 30-45, wherein it is clear that IC is the printed circuit board, see col. 1, lines 42-43).

Here, although Andrys is not clear on the narrow band of the IF signal, it is noted that since Andrys discloses tuned elements 50, 52, 54, 56 at the IF output ports (see Fig. 1) and also mentioned the narrowing of the IF bandwidth (see col. 4, lines 64-col. 5, lines), it would have been obvious that the above tuned elements caused a narrow band

Application/Control Number: 09/863,709

Art Unit: 2685

response on the IF signal. Therefore, the claimed limitation is made obvious by Andrys, for providing a printed circuit board having a narrow band IF signal.

Regarding claim **14**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 12 above. In addition, it is clear that the FETs 30-32, 34-36 would read on switches and control terminals as claimed (see Fig. 1, refs. 30, 32, 34, 36).

Regarding claim **15**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 15 above. In addition, it would have been obvious to use MESFET devices as disclosed by Andrys (see col. 3, lines 7-9).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 13, 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claim 18 is allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claims 16, 18, the cited prior art fails to disclose or make it obvious a method or apparatus for a double balanced mixer which comprises components as recited in the claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2685

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Long (US 6,026,286), RF amplifier, RF mixer and RF receiver. Gu (US 5,697,088), Balun transformer.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

> Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703-872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry) or (571) 273-8300 after July 15, 2005.

(571)-273-7893 (for informal or draft communications).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7893, Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Or to Edward Urban (Supervisor) whose telephone number is (571) 272-7899.

Duc M. Nguyen Polynyh

July 8, 2005